

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,564	02/11/2002	Michael A. Todd	ASMEX.333A	6555
20995 7.	590 06/16/2003			
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN ST FOURTEENTI	H FLOOR		KEBEDE, BROOK	
IRVINE, CA	92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823	0
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2003	0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Qu.			
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	`			
•		10/074,564	TODD ET AL.				
·	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Brook Kebede	2823				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover she it with the c	orrespondence addi	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 F	ebruary 2002 .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa			merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)	Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) 1-54 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional a	pplication).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment	(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-				
S. Patent and Tra	ademark Office						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Onice PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 Group I, Claims 1-50, drawn to Method of Manufacturing Semiconductor Device and
 Method of Increasing Semiconductor Manufacturing Device Yield, classified in class
 438, subclass 482+.
 - Group II, Claims 51-54, drawn to Semiconductor Device, classified in class 257, subclass 52+.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the device of Group II can be produced by printing instead of CVD.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. If Applicants elect Group II, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, Claims 1-46, drawn to Method of Manufacturing Semiconductor Device.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,564

Art Unit: 2823

٠,٠

Species II, Claims 47-50 drawn to Method of Increasing Semiconductor Manufacturing Device Yield.

6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/074,564

Art Unit: 2823

Page 4

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Correspondence

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brook Kebede whose telephone number is (703) 306-4511. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (703) 306-2794. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular

communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Brook Kebede

W. David Coleman **Primary Examiner**

Tech Center 2800